ECONOMICS of Soil Health Systems

Middle Columbia Watershed of Oregon



FARM SIZE 2,400 crop acres

CROPS GROWN Winter Wheat 1,000 acres

I,000 acres Sunflower 200 acres



SOIL TEXTURE Silt Ioam



SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT

SYSTEM No-till production Cover crops Monitoring of soil nutrient levels



NET INCOME INCREASE

Winter Wheat \$88.31/acre Sunflower \$16.35/acre

INTRODUCTION

The Noah Williams farm in the Middle Columbia Watershed of Oregon increased profitability for winter wheat and sunflower by increasing winter wheat yield and decreasing production costs for sunflower with a soil health management system (SHMS) of no-till production and cover crops. The farm has practiced no-till production for approximately 15 years and has planted cover crops for five years.

Benefits of the SHMS reported by the farmer:

\rightarrow IMPROVED WATER INFILTRATION

- \rightarrow **REDUCED** EROSION
- \rightarrow ENHANCED RESILIENCE TO WATER STRESS

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE FARM IS AVAILABLE IN A REPORT AND VIDEO PRESENTATION AT WWW.NACDNET.ORG/SOIL-HEALTH-ECONOMICS.

METHODS

The Soil Health Institute conducted an interview to obtain production information for evaluating economics of the soil health system based on partial budget analysis. In this approach, the benefits and costs of a soil health system are assessed by calculating changes in revenue and expenses before and after adoption of that system. The change in net farm income associated with adopting a SHMS is calculated as shown below and presented in Table 1.



Net change in farm income = Benefits - Costs, where: Benefits = Reduced Expenses + Additional Revenue Costs = Additional Expenses + Reduced Revenue

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY FOR PARTIAL BUDGET ANALYSIS CAN BE FOUND AT <u>HTTPS://SOILHEALTHINSTITUTE.ORG/ECONOMICS</u>.

FINDINGS

Initial Management System and Reduced Expenses

- \rightarrow The initial management system was conventional tillage production.
- \rightarrow Post-plant weed management was exclusively with herbicide in conventional tillage.
- \rightarrow Six tillage trips were eliminated for winter wheat and three were eliminated for sunflower.
- \rightarrow A field trip with 45 lbs. of anhydrous ammonia was eliminated for winter wheat.
- \rightarrow One spray application with herbicide expense of \$20.00/acre was eliminated for sunflower.
- → Total reduced expenses were \$72.35/acre for winter wheat and \$54.91/acre for sunflower.

FARM #29







© Soil Health Institute This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number NRI83A750010C004. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

ECONOMICS of Soil Health Systems: Middle Columbia Watershed of Oregon

Soil Health Management System and Additional Expenses

- \rightarrow The soil health management system adopted was no-till production with cover crops before winter wheat.
- \rightarrow Cover crops on 300 acres consisting of triticale, oat, common vetch, spring pea, phacelia, and forage collard for \$25.00/acre were drilled in April before winter wheat production.
- \rightarrow Before planting winter wheat in September, cover crop termination was in June with herbicide that was not an additional expense.
- \rightarrow One fungicide application was added for winter wheat.
- \rightarrow Nitrogen as 45 lbs./acre dried fertilizer was added to an application for winter wheat.
- \rightarrow Additional herbicide expenses were \$22.00/acre for sunflower.
- \rightarrow Post-harvest expense due to increased winter wheat yield were hauling and check-off fee.
- \rightarrow Total additional expenses were \$94.04/acre for winter wheat and \$38.56/acre for sunflower.

Soil Health Management System Impact on Farm Income

- \rightarrow Reduced expenses were \$21.69/acre less than additional expenses for winter wheat.
- Reduced expenses were \$16.35/acre greater than \rightarrow additional expenses for sunflower.
- Yield increased 20 bu./acre, and additional revenue \rightarrow was \$110.00/acre for winter wheat.
- \rightarrow Reduced expenses were achieved for sunflower without a reduction in yield.
- Net farm income increased \$88.31/acre for winter \rightarrow wheat and \$16.35/acre for sunflower.

Table 1. Partial Budget¹ Analysis, 15 Years with a Soil Health Management System on a 2,400-Acre Farm, \$ per Acre per Year (2019 Dollars).

	Winter	Winter Wheat		Sunflower	
	BENEFITS	COSTS	BENEFITS	COSTS	
Expense Category	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	
Seed	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	
Fertilizer & Amendments	15.78	20.05	0.00	0.00	
Pesticides	0.00	3.00	20.00	22.00	
Fuel & Electricity	8.66	3.47	5.08	1.73	
Labor & Services	16.06	18.28	10.13	5.51	
Post-harvest Expenses	0.00	5.60	0.00	0.00	
Equipment Ownership	31.85	18.64	19.70	9.32	
Total Expense Change	72.35	94.04	54.91	38.56	
	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	
Yield, bu.	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Price Received, ² \$/bu.	5.50	5.50	6.00	6.00	
Revenue Change	110.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	
Total Change	182.35	94.04	54.91	38.56	
Change in Net Farm Income	88	88.31		16.35	

1 Expenses and expected yields based on farmer reported production practices. (https://soilhealthinstitute.org/economics/) 2 Commodity prices applied to yields based on long-term average prices. Irwin, S. "IFES 2018: The New, New Era of Grain Prices?" Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, January 11, 2019.





Department of

ration Service

Agriculture