ECONOMICSof Soil Health Systems

Opossum Bayou-Tippo Bayou Watershed of Mississippi



FARM SIZE

200 acres



CROPS GROWN

Soybean 180 acres



SOIL TEXTURE

Predominantly Loam but ranged from Sand to Clay



SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

No-till production Cover crops Monitoring of soil nutrient levels



NET INCOME INCREASE

Soybean -\$22.72/acre

INTRODUCTION

The Marquitrice Mangham farm in the Opossum Bayou-Tippo Bayou Watershed of Mississippi has recently adopted a SHMS of no-till production and cover crops for the past three years.

Benefits of the SHMS reported by the farmer:



- → IMPROVED SOIL MOISTURE
- → REDUCED EROSION
- → INCREASED WEED SUPPRESSION
- → ENHANCED RESILIENCE TO EXTREME WEATHER CONDITIONS

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE FARM IS AVAILABLE IN A REPORT AND VIDEO PRESENTATION AT WWW.NACDNET.ORG/SOIL-HEALTH-ECONOMICS.

METHODS

The Soil Health Institute conducted an interview to obtain production information for evaluating economics of the soil health system based on partial budget analysis. In this approach, the benefits and costs of a soil health system are assessed by calculating changes in revenue and expenses before and after adoption of that system. The change in net farm income associated with adopting a SHMS is calculated as shown below and presented in Table 1.



Net change in farm income = Benefits - Costs, where: Benefits = Reduced Expenses + Additional Revenue Costs = Additional Expenses + Reduced Revenue

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY FOR PARTIAL BUDGET ANALYSIS CAN BE FOUND AT HTTPS://SOILHEALTHINSTITUTE.ORG/ECONOMICS.

FINDINGS

Initial Management System and Reduced Expenses

- → The initial management system was conventional tillage production.
- → Post-plant weed management was exclusively with herbicide in conventional tillage.
- → Two tillage operations with a disk were eliminated for soybean.
- → Total reduced expenses were \$29.55/acre for equipment usage and associated costs.

FARM #26







ECONOMICS of Soil Health Systems: Opossum Bayou-Tippo Bayou Watershed of Mississippi

Soil Health Management System and Additional Expenses

- → The soil health management system adopted was no-till production with cover crops.
- → Wheat as a cover crop was drilled with seed cost of \$13.75/acre in the fall after soybean harvest.
- → Termination of the cover crop with herbicide before planting soybean was not an additional expense.
- → Total additional expenses were \$52.57/acre for planting and managing the cover crop.

Soil Health Management System Impact on Farm Income

- → No-till production and planting cover crops did not reduce soybean yield in the first three years of adoption.
- → Additional expenses were \$22.72/acre greater than reduced expenses for soybean.
- → Net farm income decreased \$22.72/acre for soybean.

Table 1. Partial Budget¹ Analysis, 3 Years with a Soil Health Management System on a 200-Acre Farm, \$ per Acre per Year (2019 Dollars)

Soybean

-22.72

	BENEFITS	COSTS
Expense Category	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE
Seed	0.00	13.75
Fertilizer & Amendments	0.00	0.00
Pesticides	0.00	0.00
Fuel & Electricity	3.71	4.28
Labor & Services	7.86	12.36
Post-harvest Expenses	0.00	0.00
Equipment Ownership	17.98	21.88
Total Expense Change	29.55	52.27

	ADDITION/ REVENU	
Yield, bu./acre	00.0	00.00
Price Received, ² \$/bu.	10.0	0 10.00
Revenue Change	0.0	0.00
	TOT. BENEFI	
Total Change	29.5	55 52.27

1 Expenses and expected yields based on farmer reported production practices. (https://soilhealthinstitute.org/economics/)
2 Commodity prices applied to yields based on long-term average prices. Irwin, S. "IFES 2018: The New, New Era of Grain Prices?" Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, January 11, 2019.





Change in Net Farm Income

