ECONOMICS of Soil Health Systems

Roebuck Lake-Yazoo River Watershed of Mississippi



CROPS GROWN

FARM SIZE

3,200 acres

Corn 2,200 acres Soybean 1,000 acres



SOIL TEXTURE Ranged from sandy loam to clay



SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Reduced tillage Poultry litter applications

Cover crops Monitoring of soil nutrient levels



NET INCOME

Corn \$57.55/acre Soybean \$168.13/acre

INTRODUCTION

The Dr. Harold Wheeler farm in the Roebuck Lake-Yazoo River Watershed of Mississippi increased profitability for corn and soybean by increasing crop yield with a soil health management system (SHMS) of reduced tillage, poultry litter applications, and cover crops. The farm has practiced soil health management systems for 20 years. Other farms in the area have adopted reduced tillage, and the distinguishing soil health practices of the farm were poultry litter applications and planting cover crops.

Benefits of the SHMS reported by the farmer:



\rightarrow IMPROVED WATER INFILTRATION

→ REDUCED EROSION → IMPROVED SOIL STRUCTURE

 \rightarrow ENHANCED RESILIENCE TO EXTREME WEATHER CONDITIONS

ightarrow HIGHER CROP YIELDS THAN OTHER FARMS IN THE AREA

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE FARM IS AVAILABLE IN A REPORT AND VIDEO PRESENTATION AT WWW.NACDNET.ORG/SOIL-HEALTH-ECONOMICS.

METHODS

The Soil Health Institute conducted an interview to obtain production information for evaluating economics of the soil health system based on partial budget analysis. In this approach, the benefits and costs of a soil health system are assessed by calculating changes in revenue and expenses before and after adoption of that system. The change in net farm income associated with adopting a SHMS is calculated as shown below and presented in Table 1.



Net change in farm income = Benefits - Costs, where: Benefits = Reduced Expenses + Additional Revenue Costs = Additional Expenses + Reduced Revenue

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY FOR PARTIAL BUDGET ANALYSIS CAN BE FOUND AT <u>HTTPS://SOILHEALTHINSTITUTE.ORG/ECONOMICS</u>.

FINDINGS

Initial Management System and Reduced Expenses

- \rightarrow The initial management system was reduced tillage production.
- \rightarrow Reduced tillage consisted of fall disking and reshaping beds before spring planting.
- ightarrow There were no reduced expenses due to adopting the SHMS.









© Soil Health Institute This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number NR183A750010C004. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Soil Health Management System and Additional Expenses

- \rightarrow The soil health management system adopted was reduced tillage production with poultry litter applications and planting cover crops on approximately 60% of crop acreage.
- \rightarrow Wheat as a cover crop was drilled at a rate of 2 bu./acre in the fall before corn and soybean.
- \rightarrow Two tons per acre of poultry litter was applied at an expense of \$45.00/ton.
- \rightarrow Cover crops were terminated with herbicide before planting corn and soybean.
- \rightarrow Post-harvest expense due to increased corn and soybean yields reflected the additional hauling and check-off fees for corn and soybean, and additional drying expense for corn.
- \rightarrow Total additional expenses were \$152.45/acre for corn and \$131.87/acre for soybean.

Soil Health Management System Impact on Farm Income

- \rightarrow Corn yield increased 50 bu./acre, and additional revenue was \$210.00/acre.
- \rightarrow Soybean yield increased 30 bu./acre, and additional revenue was \$300.00/acre.
- Net farm income increased \$57.55/acre for corn \rightarrow and \$168.13/acre for soybean.

Table 1. Partial Budget¹ Analysis, 20 Years with a Soil Health Management System on a 3,200-Acre Farm, \$ per Acre per Year (2019 Dollars)

	Co	Corn		Soybean	
	BENEFITS	COSTS	BENEFITS	COSTS	
Expense Category	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	
Seed	0.00	11.00	0.00	11.00	
Fertilizer & Amendments	0.00	90.00	0.00	90.00	
Pesticides	0.00	3.84	0.00	3.84	
Fuel & Electricity	0.00	1.63	0.00	1.63	
Labor & Services	0.00	6.80	0.00	6.80	
Post-harvest Expenses	0.00	30.18	0.00	9.60	
Equipment Ownership	0.00	9.00	0.00	9.00	
Total Expense Change	0.00	152.45	00.00	131.87	
	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	
Yield, bu./acre	50.00	0.00	30.00	0.00	
Price Received, ² \$/bu.	4.20	4.20	10.00	10.00	
Revenue Change	210.00	0.00	300.00	0.00	
	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	
Total Change	210.00	152.45	300.00	131.87	
Change in Net Farm Income	57.	57.55		168.13	

1 Expenses and expected yields based on farmer reported production practices. (https://soilhealthinstitute.org/economics/) 2 Commodity prices applied to yields based on long-term average prices. Irwin, S. "IFES 2018: The New, New Era of Grain Prices?" Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, January 11, 2019.





Department of Agriculture ration Service © Soil Health Institute This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number NR183A750010C004. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture, USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender