# ECONOMICS of Soil Health Systems

## Chesapeake Bay Watershed of Delaware



FARM SIZE

2,100 crop acres



**CROPS GROWN** Corn and soybean

**SOIL TEXTURE** Sandy loam

#### SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

No-till production Cover crops Poultry litter for fertilizer Monitoring of soil nutrient levels Grid soil sampling and variable rate fertilizer application



#### NET INCOME INCREASE

Corn \$52.09/acre Soybean \$5.39/acre

#### INTRODUCTION

The Jay Baxter farm in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed of Delaware increased profitability by decreasing expenses with a soil health management system (SHMS) of no-till production with cover crops. Adoption of no-till with cover crops began in 2002, and acreage was added incrementally. Cover crop species included hairy vetch, cereal rye, annual ryegrass, and tillage radish.

Benefits of the SHMS reported by the farmer:

$\rightarrow$ DECREASED EROSION	
→ REDUCED FERTILIZER APPLICATIONS	
→ REDUCED SOIL COMPACTION	

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON THE FARM IS AVAILABLE IN A REPORT AND VIDEO PRESENTATION AT WWW.NACDNET.ORG/SOIL-HEALTH-ECONOMICS.

#### METHODS

The Soil Health Institute conducted an interview to obtain production information for evaluating economics of the soil health system based on partial budget analysis. In this approach, the benefits and costs of a soil health system are assessed by calculating changes in revenue and expenses before and after adoption of that system. The change in net farm income associated with adopting a SHMS is calculated as shown below and presented in Table 1.



#### Net change in farm income = Benefits - Costs, where: Benefits = Reduced Expenses + Additional Revenue Costs = Additional Expenses + Reduced Revenue

A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODOLOGY FOR PARTIAL BUDGET ANALYSIS CAN BE FOUND AT <u>HTTPS://SOILHEALTHINSTITUTE.ORG/ECONOMICS</u>.

#### FINDINGS

#### Initial Management System and Reduced Expenses

- $\rightarrow$  The initial management system was conventional tillage production.
- ightarrow Post-plant weed management was exclusively with herbicide for both crops.
- ightarrow Five tillage activities were eliminated for corn and three were eliminated for soybean.

 $\rightarrow$  Total reduced expenses were \$98.90/acre for corn and \$33.93/acre for soybean.

- ightarrow An application with 50 lbs./acre of nitrogen was eliminated for corn.
- → Weed suppression enabled a reduction in herbicide expense for corn by changing herbicide.

### **FARM #1**







© Soil Health Institute This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number NR183A750010C004. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

#### Soil Health Management System and Additional Expenses

- $\rightarrow$ The soil health management system adopted was no-till on all acres and cover crops on 75% of acreage.
- $\rightarrow$  Cover crops before corn were planted with a narrow row planter in the fall, after soybean harvest.
- $\rightarrow$ Cover crops before soybean were broadcast in the fall, after corn harvest.
- $\rightarrow$  Cover crop seed expense was \$20.00/acre for corn and \$14.00/acre for soybean.
- $\rightarrow$  Both crops were planted into living cover crops which were terminated with herbicide which was not an additional expense.
- $\rightarrow$ Total additional expenses were \$46.81/acre for corn and \$28.54/acre for soybean.

#### Soil Health Management System Impact on Farm Income

- $\rightarrow$ Reduced expenses were \$52.09/acre greater than additional expenses for corn.
- Reduced expenses were \$5.39/acre greater than  $\rightarrow$ additional expenses for soybean.
- Reduced expenses were achieved without  $\rightarrow$ decreases in crop yields.
- $\rightarrow$ Net farm income increased \$52.09/acre for corn and \$5.39/acre for soybean.

Table 1. Partial Budget<sup>1</sup> Analysis, 16 Years with a Soil Health Management System on a 2,100-Acre Farm, \$ per Acre per Year (2019 Dollars).

	Col	Corn		Soybean	
	BENEFITS	COSTS	BENEFITS	COSTS	
Expense Category	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	REDUCED EXPENSE	ADDITIONAL EXPENSE	
Seed	0.00	20.00	0.00	14.00	
Fertilizer & Amendments	24.84	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Pesticides	10.65	2.08	0.00	0.00	
Fuel & Electricity	8.57	2.06	4.51	1.43	
Labor & Services	17.48	8.67	9.21	5.12	
Equipment Ownership	37.36	14.00	20.21	7.99	
Total Expense Change	98.90	46.81	33.93	28.54	
	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	ADDITIONAL REVENUE	REDUCED REVENUE	
Yield, bu./acre	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Price Received,² \$/bu.	4.20	4.20	10.00	10.00	
Revenue Change	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	TOTAL BENEFITS	TOTAL COSTS	
Total Change	98.90	46.81	33.93	28.54	
Change in Net Farm Income	52.0	52.09		5.39	

1 Expenses and expected yields based on farmer reported production practices. (https://soilhealthinstitute.org/economics/) 2 Commodity prices applied to yields based on long-term average prices. Irwin, S. "IFES 2018: The New, New Era of Grain Prices?" Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, January 11, 2019.





Department of Agriculture vation Service -----

© Soil Health Institute This material is based upon work supported by the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, under number NR183A750010C004. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender